G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. Home F 61-01

61

191 .

Serial

(Home)

Later references.

File No 101/61 (I)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for

SUBJECT.

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 28-1-19

Inms F 61-01

Inm: 565-17

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

Subject.

In re possession of the inam lands attached to the Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple at Paschimavahini.

LAST REFERENCE:-

Letter No. 147 dated 29th December 1903 from the D.C., Mysore District, and Docket No. 9 - D. 12th February 1904 from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements

Mutya Guruvajachar residing in Siddiantta, Bangalore City, in his petn. No. 722 D. 12.12.03 complained that the inam lands endowed to the Sri Anjaneya swami Temple at the Khasa bathing Ghaut in Paschimavahini, were being enjoyed by one Ananta Lakshmi Narasimhachar even without the Temple getting anything, and prayed that the lands may be resumed & restored to the instn. worship in which was being conducted by his agent one Dadachar. A report having been called for, the records have been received with the D.C.'s letter

cited above. From the records it is observed that the marginally noted lands have been endorsed as "Devadaya inam" to the Hanumanthasaya temple. The Amildar reports that the Patta of Sy.No: 48 & 49 measuring A G 0-22 & A G 0-19 & assessed at Rs 4-0-0 & Rs 3-8-0 respectively is in the name of the temple, that the lands were being cultivated by one Mallur Shaniengar who after paying the Kandayam due to Govt. was paying ~~Kandayam~~ paddy to the temple, that after his death one Kanishatta Venkatachar was cultivating the lands & that after his death, the lands came to the possession of Smt. Lakshmi Narasimhachar, who has deposed that as the lands in question have been in the possession of his family from a very long time he is not willing now to give up possession. The Amildar further states that from unauthenticated ~~extracts~~ copy of the Inspection of the Mysore Dt. (No. 408) dated 1th October 1876, it is observed that

Sy. No. 48 old D. Kan. No. 210 new. 31/pt. 0-22-4 old assessment 4-0-0

Sy. No. 49 old D. Kan. No. 211 new. 214-7 old assessment 3-8-0

Sy. No. 48 & 49 Belakavalli Hobli Agrahara Village

when a similar complaint was brought, it was ordered that the possession of the lands could not be disturbed and that the payment of an increased Gutta could not also be enforced. The Amildar is of opinion that if the lands are given out for cultivation to others, a produce of 4 Khandies of paddy could be derived, that after paying away 1½ Khandies thereof towards the Kandayam, there remains 2½ Khandies & that in consideration of the Khadim tenancy right, the present occupant may be ordered to pay 1½ Khandies of paddy to the temple. About 4½ Khandies of paddy is reported to remain in arrears & the present occupant is willing to pay it to the temple.

Mr. Commr reports that the lands are in the possession of Ananta Lakshmi Narasimhachar from a very long time, that he is unwilling to give them up & that he agrees to pay Gutta to the Archak at the rate of 1½ Khandies of paddy annually & also Rs 2-8-0 to Govt on account of water rate Rs. He further

reports that the Archaka Servants declined to receive the Sattar at the above rate it was not given to him for the last 3 years.

Submitted

The lands are Devadaya Inam lands and as such, Ananta Lakshmi Narasimhachar, in whose possession they are reported to be, cannot claim the ~~enjoyment~~ exclusive right of enjoyment. Unless he produces documentary evidence to show that he or his ancestors have been in their possession prior to 1853, the case will have to be treated under Clause B Rule II of the Notification of 1877. From the petitions received in this Office, it is observed that the said Ananta Lakshmi Narasimhachar's father Shaminger came to the possession of these lands subsequent to 1884.

Ananta Lakshmi Narasimhachar is therefore asked to produce the evidence accordingly and answer the will be passed on receipt of report thereon. 25.3.04

B.

Submitted

Ds
26/2/04

Please explain how and when the lands passed from hand to hand. Who is the Pujari? Has he any connection with the holder of the land?

Examine the Vat Records first, and if any entry is existing, call for it from the Tahsildar.

Ds 27/2/04

Ds 27/2/04

Submitted

From the Auditor's report dated 30th April 1902, it is observed that Muthu Thaminayar was enjoying the lands on payment of 1½ Kundies of paddy to the Archak minus the Kandayam due to Government; & that on his death Kanigiatta Venkatachar came to its management till 1900, when on his death the lands passed into the hands of the present holder Ananta Lakshmi Narasimhachar (son of Thaminayar). The present pujaree is one Ramanuja Dasanuchar. He has no connection with the holder of the land —

Ks
29/2/04

Note continued: —

The enquiry ordered by the A.S. Mysore Secretary & this note was made of the

(2)

Deputy Commr. who reports that Ananta (Flag P
Lakshmi Narasimhachar in his deposition (Flag T
states that he has no documentary evidence
to produce to prove how he has been in possession
of the inam lands prior to 1853.

Submitted
27/1/05 RS
2/2/05

Ask the Dy/com^r for further particulars about the references of 1876, and also about how the present petitioner's forefathers' connection with the temple and its inams.

27/2/05

+ Sumathi Ananthachar

Draft submitted
27.2.05

To, The Dy. Commr., Mysore Dist
Docket no 3303 Dy 1-3-1905

Calls for the references relating to the Dist. muz. officer's order of 1876 wherein it is directed that the possession of the temple lands could not be disturbed and also information about the connection of the petr Sumathi Ananthachar's forefathers with the temple and its inam.

61-5

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department

To

The Dy Commr
Mysore Dt

Dated 15th March 1905

Despatched

Received

General No. 3303 61-5
Muzrai F. No. 61-1901.

Enclosure No. Book file

His letter No 232 dy 20th January 1905 reporting on the possession of the inam lands attached to the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Paschima Vahini, Sreerangapatam Taluk.

Adverting to the above has the honor to invite the Dy Commr's reference to Sreerangapatam Amildar's report No 84 dy 28th Nov 1904 wherein it is stated

that when a similar complaint was brought, it was ordered by the then Murasi Officer of the Uliyaore Dt. in his No 418 of 11th Oct. 1876 that the possession of the lands could not be disturbed.

The Dy Commr is requested to submit the references relating to the issue of the Orders of 1876 and also to report about the present petitioners (Sumathi Amanthapharis) ~~to~~ forefathers' connection with the temple and its inams ~~was then adjudged~~.

2. The enclos: are retd for refce & resubn together with a fuller report called for above.

By Order

28/2/05

Legs. Murs. Deptt

Dft.

28/2/05



2751

28/1/05

Refer please

Dy. No. 61-01

1904-05

From A. B Sparkes
Dy Commissioner
Mayavaram

To L. Anantasami Rao Esq BA
Secretary to Govt
Mirgan department

Dated

Despatched 20th January 1905

Received

General No. 232

No.

Enclosure No. One to G

Forwarding information
in the matter of the
Sri Anjaneya Swami
temple at Parchimvalam,
Sirkazhi Taluk.

Deputy Commissioners office
Mysore District
Miscellaneous Department
Dated Mysore 18th January 1905

No.

To
A. Krishnamachar Esq., B.A.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
in the Miscellaneous Department.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No 2014 dated 5th April 1904 calling for certain information re the Inam Lands attached to Sri Ranganathaswamy Temple at Talakad in the Seringapatam Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the file of correspondence ending with the Bench Clerks division assistant Commissioner's Yadi No 722. dated 15th December 1904 and to state that Ananta Lakshmi Narasimha Char has deposed before the Amildar of the Seringapatam Taluk that he has no documentary evidence to produce to prove how he is in possession of the Inam lands prior to 1853.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most obedient servant

H. H. Spurway
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

14. encl.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Dist.

Dated 5th April 1904.

Despatched

Received

General No. 3079
Muzrai F. No. 61-04

Enclosure No. 1 file

His letter no 147 of 29th December 1903 reporting that inam lands attached to the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Paschimavahini in the Srirangapatam Taluk are in the possession of one Ananta Lakshmi Narasimhachar & that he is unwilling to give them up.

Adverting to the above State has the honor to request

IGCPB—1000 21-1-04

that Ananda Lakshmi Narasimhachar should be formally asked to produce documentary or other evidence to show that either he or his ancestors have been in possession of the inam lands in question prior to 1853. Final orders will be passed on receipt of the report called for above.

2. The enclos: are retd for refce & resubmission.

By order

Asst.

3.4.04

Dft-

Ag Secy

Ks

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
Mer.
SECRETARIAT

1904.

From The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

To The Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore Inam and Darbast Department

Dated 12th February, 1904.

Despatched 13th

Received

General No. 92

No.

Enclosure No. one.

As requested in his docket No. 2252 of the 3rd February 1904, has the honor to forward herewith, a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the Inam Lands endowed to Sri Hanumanta devaru temple near the khas Bathing Ghat at Paschimavahini, Srirangapatana Taluk.

Supt. of Inam Settlements in Mysore

Recd 13/2/04

Refers 13/2/04

Office note submitted

Register Sheet No 5

Jalook. Ashtagram
Jammuth - Pathacy -
Village - - Agrahar

Copy of the Register of ~~Inams~~ Grant Inam in the Village of Agrahar in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and then fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5

Huzur g'are account
1831
+ krishnaraj

1 Devadaya

wet K K.P
Dry
No 31 part 0 — 1 — 4

Hamlet of Pachally in the Taluk of Chitte-

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit-rent, i. e., jodi, &c., amount of latter to be entered
6	7	8	9

R 7.V Rs and
Inam 2-14-7

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sanad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the land is held on service tenure or whether the grant is produced or lost &c., and the general description of the deeds or all documents produced should be given.
10	11

of [illegible]

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
	Huzur gau. account	
	1804	1831
	Name not [illegible]	Hanumantaraya [illegible]

Residence, name, and age, &c.	Relation to or [illegible]		
15	16		17

Examined

Administrator

Manager Forest

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20

1. Whole grant valid
2. Huzur jari account of 1834 is adopted
3 To be confirmed free Rule V / Sd illegible

Signed by Shanmugam
Assistant

25-4-79

1. Confirmed free
/ Signed J Robt Cole
Supt of Inams

2-9-79

"Copy"

J Smith
Supt of Inam settlement

Compd

Bangalore
dated 12th February 1904

MUZRAI

19 —19

To The Superintendent
of Inam Settlements
in Mysore

Dated 3d Feb: 1904

Despatched

Received

General No. 2252
Muzrai F. No. 6-01

Enclosure

Has the honor to request to be furnished with an extract from the Inam Reg: pertaining to the Grant of the marginally noted Devadaya inam land to the Hanumanthadevaru temple near the Khas Kattige Ground at Paschimavahini, Seeranagaratan Taluk.

By order

2/2/04

Dy Secy
Muzrai Dept

2/2/04

MGCPB—2,000—9-6-02

To Hanumanthadevaru temple

Ashtagram Talook
Belakalli Hobli.
Agrahara Village —

Sur: No. 220 extent 0-1-4 assd 31/Rs. 2-14-7.
wet.

61-01

1903 - 1904.



From B. K. Venkatavaradiengar Esq
Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore district.

To L. Anantasami Rao Esq B.A
Deputy Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore in the
Muzrai Dept.

Dated 29th December 1903

Despatched

Received

General No. 147

No. 1

Enclosure No. 1 file

Reporting on the Inam
lands attached to the
Nyamagutvami temple
at Paschimavahini. -

Rejes
Rs
4/1/04

Draft calling for an
extract of the Inam
Regn relative to
the Grant, subject to
appl

No 147

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Mysore 29th December 1903.

To
L. Ananthasami Rao Esqr B.A.
Deputy Secretary to Govt
of Mysore in the Revenue Dept.

Sir,

With reference to your Office memo
-randum No. 1137 and 919 dated the 24th November
1902 and 31st October 1903 respectively calling for
a report in re the production of the Arecanut Cultivation
by the Sri Anjaneya Swami Devasthanam
Valuru in the Heragu Pattam Taluk, I have the
honor to transmit herewith the French Rocks
Sub Division Officer's Papers Nos 495 and 507
dated the 12th and 14th October 1903. It will be
observed therefrom that the lands referred to
are in the possession of one Ananthu Lakshmi
Narasimhachar since a very long time, that
he is unwilling to give them up and that
he agrees to pay Gutta to the archak at the
rate of 1½ Kandies of Kaddy per annum and
also Rs. 2-8-- to Government on account of
rent rate &c. The archak having declined to
receive the gutta at the above rate it was not
given to him for the last 3 years.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

No. 919 Enc/2 dt. 25.10.03
920 Govt. dt. 31.10.03

MYSORE ~~DEWAN'S OFFICE~~

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petitions No.s 302 & 303 dated 22-8-1903 received at the ~~Dewan~~'s Office from Mutsa Guru-Rajachar of Bangalore re the produce of the Inam lands attached to the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Paschimavahini.

are forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Dy: Comr, Mysore District in continuation of this Office memo No 1137 dt 24th November 1902

An early report is requested.

By Order,

BANGALORE 190

Muzrai Secretary

Muzrai Depart:

27/10/03

9200 31.10.03.

MGOPB—500—31-8-01

R.O. 1137 Encl 1 & 1138

d 24-11-02

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 737
dated ~~12th December~~ 27th October 1902 received at the
Dewan's Office from Mutyalguru
Rajachar of Bangalore,
stating that the produce
of the Inam land attached
to the Anjaneyaswami
Temple at Paschimavahini
Srirangapatam Taluk is
~~is forwarded, together with its~~
~~enclosures, to the~~
being misappropriated by
one Srirangamma ~~and~~
~~for [illegible]~~ is
forwarded to the Deputy
Commissioner, Mysore
District ~~for enquiry and~~
~~report~~, in continuation of
this office memo: No. 1202
dated 7. 2. 1902.
The Deputy Commissioner
is requested to expedite
the ~~report~~. the subject of the report
therein called for

By Order,

BANGALORE,

18 .

~~Dy Muzra~~ Secretary
Muz: Depts

No. 1202 Dt. 7/2/02 & Enl 1203

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.



OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 722

dated 12. 12. 1901 received at the

Dewan's Office from Huvinayakanahalli

Rajahar of Bangalore,

stating that the produce

of the Inam lands attached

to the Anjaneya Swami temple

~~near the Hanumanth~~ at Paschima-

vahini, Seringapatam taluk

~~is forwarded, together with its~~

Taluk, ~~&c.~~ being this

~~enclosures, to the~~

appropriated by one Sri-

rangamma - is

forwarded to the Deputy

Commissioner of Mysore for

enquiry and report

By Order,

Muzrai Secretary

BANGALORE,
Feb 1902.

Enclosure to be sent